

27th March 1929]

A.—(a) Yes, in cases where quarters are provided within the hostel premises Deputy Wardens who are required to live in the same flat as the students are exempt from payment of rent and receive no remuneration for hostel supervision.

(b) & (c) The principles on which remuneration is given to Deputy Wardens for hostel supervision are as follows:—

(1) *For hostels that have 75 students or upwards.*—Rupees 50 a month, if the Deputy Warden holds a post in the Madras Educational Service or in a service corresponding to it.

(2) *For hostels that have 40 but less than 75 students.*—Rupees 25 a month if the Deputy Warden holds a post in the Madras Educational Service or in a service corresponding to it.

NOTE.—If in either of the above cases the office of Deputy Warden is held by a member of the Subordinate Educational Service the allowance is Rs. 15 a month.

(3) *For hostels that have less than 40 students.*—Rupees 15 a month, the office of Deputy Warden being normally held by a member of the Subordinate Educational Service.

(d) & (e) The Government have no information.

Instruction in Sanitary Engineering in the Engineering College.

* 1950 Q.—MR. A. B. SHETTY: Will the hon. the Minister for Education and Local Self-Government be pleased to state—

(a) whether Sanitary Engineering is being taught as a separate branch of study in the Engineering College in Madras; and

(b) whether there is any professor in the college who has specialized in this branch of engineering and qualified to teach it?

A.—(a) Sanitary Engineering is taught in the college as part of the course for Civil Engineer students.

(b) The professor teaching the subject is qualified to do so.

MR. A. B. SHETTY:—“May I know, Sir, whether Government will consider the advisability of giving more importance to the study of Sanitary Engineering and treating it as a separate branch of study?”

The hon. DR. P. SUBBARAYAN:—“As the answer says, Sanitary Engineering is being taught as part of the course for Civil Engineering students.”

Local Boards

Alleged canvassing of votes for the President, South Arcot District Board.

* * 1951 Q.—MR. T. ADINARAYANA CHETTIYAR: Will the hon. the Minister for Education and Local Self-Government be pleased to state whether the hon. the Chief Minister deputed Mr. Soundarapandia Nadar to canvass for votes for the President of the South Arcot District Board and whether accordingly on 4th March 1929 Mr. Soundarapandia Nadar visited Cuddalore and told the members of the South Arcot District Board and Mr. Venugopal Nayudu in particular that the Chief Minister had asked him to influence the members to vote for the President of the District Board at the next meeting of the Board?

[27th March 1929]

A.—The Minister never authorized any one to canvass votes for the President of the South Arcot District Board.

Mr. P. ANJANEYULU :—“ May I know whether the hon. Minister was aware that Mr. Soundarapandia Nadar went to this place at that time ? ”

The hon. Dr. P. SUBBARAYAN :—“ I am not aware of any such thing.”

Mr. P. ANJANEYULU :—“ May I know whether at any time he told Mr. Soundarapandia Nadar that he might ask the nominated members to vote in a particular manner ? ”

The hon. Dr. P. SUBBARAYAN :—“ No, Sir.”

Nominations to South Arcot District Board.

* 1952 Q.—**MR. BASHEER AHMED SAYEED** : Will the hon. the Minister for Education and Local Self-Government be pleased to state—

(a) the reason for nominating Mr. A. T. Muthukumaraswami Chettiyar Avargal as a member of the District Board of South Arcot in preference to a Muslim ;

(b) whether the Government are aware that Mr. A. T. Muthukumaraswami Chettiyar Avargal is a nominated member of the Cuddalore Taluk Board and that at an election held by the said Taluk Board to return representatives to the District Board, he stood as one of the candidates and was defeated in the said election ;

(c) if so, why a defeated candidate was nominated to the District Board ;

(d) whether there is any nominated Muslim member in the District Board ;

(e) whether the District Board President recommended the name of any Muslim gentleman ; if so, why his recommendation was not accepted ;

(f) whether the Government are aware that Mr. A. T. Muthukumaraswami Chettiyar was a nominated member of the said District Board for more than two terms ; and

(g) whether Government took any steps, and if so what, to nominate a Muslim member ?

A.—(a) M.R.Ry. A. T. Muthukumaraswami Chettiyar Avargal belonged to a minority community which was not represented on the South Arcot District Board.

(b) & (c) The Government knew that Mr. Chettiyar was a nominated member of the Cuddalore Taluk Board. They have no information as to his defeat in the election of members to the District Board from the Taluk Board.

(d) No ; but there are two elected members.

(e) & (g) The Government are not prepared to furnish the information required.

(f) Mr. Chettiyar was a nominated member for one term from 8th August 1922 to 7th August 1925.